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#### Abstract

The aim of this paper is to compute the commutativity degree in polygroup's theory, more exactly for the polygroup $P_{G}$ and for extension of polygroups by polygroups, obtaining boundaries for them. Also, we have analyzed the nilpotencitiy of $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]$, meaning the extension of polygroups $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$.


## 1 Introduction

The polygroups theory represents a particular class from the hypergroup theory. This theory is detailed in the book of Davvaz, "Polygroup Theory and Related Systems" see [4]. We choose this class because it is similar to group theory and we founded a few similarities but and differences between these two theories.

Definition 1. A polygroup is a system $\varphi=<P, \cdot, e,^{-1}>$, where $e \in P,^{-1}$ is a unitary operation on $P$ and ".": P $\times P \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^{*}(P)$. In the following, the next axioms hold for all $x, y, z \in P$ :
i) $(x \cdot y) \cdot z=x \cdot(y \cdot z)$;
ii) $e \cdot x=x \cdot e=x$;
iii) $x \in y \cdot z$, implies $y \in x \cdot z^{-1}$ and $z \in y^{-1} \cdot x$.
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Also, from the above axioms, it is obtaine:

$$
\begin{aligned}
e & \in x \cdot x^{-1} \cap x^{-1} \cdot x ; e^{-1}=e \\
\left(x^{-1}\right)^{-1} & =x,(x \cdot y)^{-1}=y^{-1} \cdot x^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2 Commutativity degree in polygroup theory

The aim of this section is to compute the commutativity degree for polygroup $P_{G}$ and to find a connection between the results from group theory and from polygroup theory. This notion, was studied by Azam Hokmabadi, Fahimeh Mohammadzadeh and Elaheh Mohammadzade, see [7] presented in the $6^{\text {th }}$ International Group Theory Conference, 2014. In this paper, the definition of commutativity degree has a similar form, but we don't using the heart of a polygroup.
Definition 2. Let $<P, \cdot, e,^{-1}>$ be a polygroup. The commutativity degree of polygroup $P$, notice by $d(P)$ has the next form:

$$
d(P)=\frac{\left|\left\{(a, b) \in P^{2} \mid a \cdot b=b \cdot a\right\}\right|}{|P|^{2}} .
$$

Remark 3. The set $\left\{(a, b) \in P^{2} \mid a \cdot b=b \cdot a\right\}$ is notice by $c(P)$.
Example 4. Let $P=\{e, a, b, c\}$ and let $\left.<P, \cdot, e,^{-1}\right\rangle$ be a non-commutative polygroup, where"." is define thus

| $\cdot$ | $e$ | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $e$ | $e$ | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $P$ | $c$ |
| $b$ | $b$ | $\{e, a, b\}$ | $b$ | $\{b, c\}$ |
| $c$ | $c$ | $\{a, c\}$ | $c$ | $P$ |

In this case, the commutativity degree of polygroup $P$, is

$$
d(P)=\frac{10}{16}=\frac{5}{8}
$$

Proposition 5. Let $<P_{1}, \cdot, e_{1},,^{-1}>$ and $<P_{2}, *, e_{2},{ }^{-1}>$ be two polygroups. $P_{1} \times P_{2}$ equipped with the usual direct hyperproduct

$$
\begin{gathered}
" \circ ":\left(P_{1} \times P_{2}\right) \times\left(P_{1} \times P_{2}\right) \rightarrow P_{1} \times P_{2} \\
\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \circ\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)=\left\{(x, y) \mid x \in x_{1} \cdot x_{2}, y \in y_{1} * y_{2}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

becomes a polygroup.

Proposition 6. Let $\left\langle P_{1}, \cdot, e_{1},{ }^{-1}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle P_{2}, *, e_{2},,^{-1}\right\rangle$ be two polygroups. The next relation holds

$$
d\left(P_{1} \times P_{2}\right)=d\left(P_{1}\right) d\left(P_{2}\right)
$$

Proof. The amount

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|\left\{\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \times\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) \in\left(P_{1} \times P_{2}\right)^{2} \mid\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \circ\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)=\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) \circ\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)\right\}\right|}{\left|P_{1} \times P_{2}\right|^{2}} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

represents the commutativity degree of $P_{1} \times P_{2}$. So, the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \circ\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)=\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) \circ\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is equivalent with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\{(x, y) & \left.\in P_{1} \times P_{2} \mid x \in x_{1} \cdot x_{2}=x_{2} \cdot x_{1}, y \in y_{1} * y_{2}=y_{2} * y_{1}\right\} \\
& =\left\{x \in P_{1} \mid x \in x_{1} \cdot x_{2}=x_{2} \cdot x_{1}\right\}\left\{y \in P_{2} \mid y \in y_{1} * y_{2}=y_{2} * y_{1}\right\} \\
& =c\left(P_{1}\right) c\left(P_{2}\right) . \\
P_{1} \times P_{2} & =\left\{(x, y) \mid x \in P_{1}, y \in P_{2}\right\}=\left\{x, x \in P_{1}\right\}\left\{y, y \in P_{2}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

follows that

$$
\left|P_{1} \times P_{2}\right|=\left|P_{1}\right|\left|P_{2}\right| .
$$

Therefore,

$$
d\left(P_{1} \times P_{2}\right)=\frac{\left|c\left(P_{1} \times P_{2}\right)\right|}{\left|P_{1} \times P_{2}\right|^{2}}=\frac{\left|c\left(P_{1}\right)\right|\left|c\left(P_{2}\right)\right|}{\left|P_{1} \times P_{2}\right|^{2}} .
$$

In conclusion,

$$
d\left(P_{1} \times P_{2}\right)=\frac{\left|c\left(P_{1}\right)\right|}{\left|P_{1}\right|^{2}} \frac{\left|c\left(P_{2}\right)\right|}{\left|P_{2}\right|^{2}}=d\left(P_{1}\right) d\left(P_{2}\right),
$$

Example 7. Let sets $P_{1}=\{0,1\}, P_{2}=\{e, a, b, c\}$ and let $\left\langle P_{1}, \cdot, e,^{-1}\right\rangle$, $\left.<P_{2}, *, e^{\prime},{ }^{-1}\right\rangle$ be two polygroups, where "." şi" *" are define thus:

| $\cdot$ | 0 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 |

and

| $*$ | $e$ | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $e$ | $e$ | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $P_{2}$ | $c$ |
| $b$ | $b$ | $\{e, a, b\}$ | $b$ | $\{b, c\}$ |
| $c$ | $c$ | $\{a, c\}$ | $c$ | $P_{2}$ |

We notice

$$
\alpha_{i}^{j}=\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right), i \in\{1,2\}, j \in\{1,2,3\}
$$

where $x_{i}$ and $y_{j}$, represents of component $i$ from $P_{1}$ and $y_{j}$ represents of component $j$ from $P_{2}$. The product polygroup $P_{1} \times P_{2}$ has the next form.

| $\circ$ | $\alpha_{1}^{1}$ | $\alpha_{1}^{2}$ | $\alpha_{1}^{3}$ | $\alpha_{1}^{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\alpha_{1}^{1}$ | $\alpha_{1}^{1}$ | $\alpha_{1}^{2}$ | $\alpha_{1}^{3}$ | $\alpha_{1}^{4}$ |
| $\alpha_{1}^{2}$ | $\alpha_{1}^{2}$ | $\alpha_{1}^{2}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\alpha_{1}^{i}, \\ i=1,4\end{array}\right\}$ | $\alpha_{1}^{4}$ |
| $\alpha_{1}^{3}$ | $\alpha_{1}^{3}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\alpha_{1}^{i}, \\ i=\overline{1,3}\end{array}\right\}$ | $\alpha_{1}^{3}$ | $\left\{\alpha_{1}^{3}, \alpha_{1}^{4}\right\}$ |
| $\alpha_{1}^{4}$ | $\alpha_{1}^{4}$ | $\left\{\alpha_{1}^{2}, \alpha_{1}^{4}\right\}$ | $\alpha_{1}^{4}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\alpha_{1}^{i}, \\ i=\overline{1,4}\end{array}\right\}$ |
| $\alpha_{2}^{1}$ | $\alpha_{2}^{1}$ | $\alpha_{2}^{2}$ | $\alpha_{2}^{3}$ | $\alpha_{2}^{4}$ |
| $\alpha_{2}^{2}$ | $\alpha_{2}^{2}$ | $\alpha_{2}^{2}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\alpha_{2}^{i}, \\ i=\overline{1,4}\end{array}\right\}$ | $\alpha_{2}^{4}$ |
| $\alpha_{2}^{3}$ | $\alpha_{2}^{3}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\alpha_{2}^{i}, \\ i=\overline{1,3}\end{array}\right\}$ | $\alpha_{2}^{3}$ | $\left\{\alpha_{2}^{3}, \alpha_{2}^{4}\right\}$ |
| $\alpha_{2}^{4}$ | $\alpha_{2}^{4}$ | $\left\{\alpha_{2}^{2}, \alpha_{2}^{4}\right\}$ | $\alpha_{2}^{4}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\alpha_{2}^{i}, \\ i=\overline{1,4}\end{array}\right\}$ |

and

| $\circ$ | $\alpha_{2}^{1}$ | $\alpha_{2}^{2}$ | $\alpha_{2}^{3}$ | $\alpha_{2}^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha_{1}^{1}$ | $\alpha_{2}^{1}$ | $\alpha_{2}^{2}$ | $\alpha_{2}^{3}$ | $\alpha_{2}^{4}$ |
| $\alpha_{1}^{2}$ | $\alpha_{2}^{2}$ | $\alpha_{2}^{2}$ | $\left\{\alpha_{2}^{i}, i=\overline{1,4}\right\}$ | $\alpha_{2}^{4}$ |
| $\alpha_{1}^{3}$ | $\alpha_{2}^{3}$ | $\left\{\alpha_{2}^{i}, i=\overline{1,3}\right\}$ | $\alpha_{2}^{3}$ | $\left\{\alpha_{2}^{3}, \alpha_{2}^{4}\right\}$ |
| $\alpha_{1}^{4}$ | $\alpha_{2}^{4}$ | $\left\{\alpha_{2}^{2}, \alpha_{2}^{4}\right\}$ | $\alpha_{2}^{4}$ | $\left\{\alpha_{2}^{i}, i=\overline{1,4}\right\}$ |
| $\alpha_{2}^{1}$ | $\alpha_{1}^{1}$ | $\alpha_{1}^{2}$ | $\alpha_{1}^{3}$ | $\alpha_{1}^{4}$ |
| $\alpha_{2}^{2}$ | $\alpha_{1}^{2}$ | $\alpha_{1}^{2}$ | $\left\{\alpha_{1}^{i}, i=\overline{1,4}\right\}$ | $\alpha_{1}^{4}$ |
| $\alpha_{2}^{3}$ | $\alpha_{1}^{3}$ | $\left\{\alpha_{1}^{i}, i=\overline{1,3}\right\}$ | $\alpha_{1}^{3}$ | $\left\{\alpha_{1}^{3}, \alpha_{1}^{4}\right\}$ |
| $\alpha_{2}^{4}$ | $\alpha_{1}^{4}$ | $\left\{\alpha_{1}^{2}, \alpha_{1}^{4}\right\}$ | $\alpha_{1}^{4}$ | $\left\{\alpha_{1}^{i}, i=\overline{1,4}\right\}$ |

The commutativity degree is

$$
d\left(P_{1} \times P_{2}\right)=\frac{40}{64}=\frac{5}{8} \cdot 1=d\left(P_{1}\right) \cdot d\left(P_{2}\right)
$$

Let $(G, \cdot)$ be a group and $P_{G}=G \cup\{a\}$, where $a \notin G$. It is define on $P_{G}$,
the hyperoperation " $\circ$ " as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (1) }: a \circ a=e \text {; } \\
& \text { (2) : } e \circ x=x \circ e=x, \forall x \in P_{G} \text {; } \\
& \text { (3) : } a \circ x=x \circ a=x, \forall x \in P_{G} \backslash\{e, a\} \text {; } \\
& \text { (4) : } x \circ y=x \cdot y, \forall(x, y) \in G^{2}, y \neq x^{-1} \text {; } \\
& \text { (5) : } x \circ x^{-1}=\{e, a\}, \forall x \in P_{G} \backslash\{e, a\} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 8. If $G$ is a group, then $<P_{G}, \circ, e,^{-1}>$ is a polygroup.
Corolar 9. Let $(G, \cdot)$ be a group. The polygroup $P_{G}$ is nilpotent, if and only if $G$ is a nilpotent group.
Proposition 10. If $(G, \cdot)$ is a finit group, with $|G|=n, n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(P_{G}\right)=\frac{n^{2} d(G)+2 n+1}{(n+1)^{2}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We define, the commutativity degree of polygroup $P_{G}$ as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(P_{G}\right)=\frac{\left|\left\{(x, y) \in P_{G}^{2} \mid x \circ y=y \circ x\right\}\right|}{\left|P_{G}\right|^{2}} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}=\left\{(x, y) \in G^{2}, y \neq x^{-1}\right\}, A_{2}=\left\{(x, y) \in G^{2}, y=x^{-1}\right\} \\
& A_{3}=\{(a, y), y \in G\}, A_{4}=\{(x, a), x \in G, y=a\}, A_{5}=\{(a, a)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{G} \times P_{G}=A_{1} \cup A_{2} \cup A_{3} \cup A_{4} \cup A_{5}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i} \cap A_{j}=\varnothing, \forall i \neq j \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (5) and (6), the above expression, could be written thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left\{(x, y) \in P_{G}^{2} \mid x \circ y=y \circ x\right\}\right| & =\sum_{i=1}^{5} \mid\left\{(x, y) \in A_{i}|x \circ y=y \circ x|\right. \\
& =n^{2} d(G)+n+n+1=n^{2} d(G)+2 n+1
\end{aligned}
$$

So,

$$
d\left(P_{G}\right)=\frac{n^{2} d(G)+2 n+1}{(n+1)^{2}}
$$

Example 11. If $G=D_{3}$, then $P_{G}=G \cup a, a \notin D_{3}$. The commutativity degree of $G$, is $d(G)=\frac{1}{2}$.

| $\circ$ | $e$ | $\rho$ | $\rho^{2}$ | $\sigma$ | $\rho \sigma$ | $\rho^{2} \sigma$ | $a$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $e$ | $e$ | $\rho$ | $\rho^{2}$ | $\sigma$ | $\rho \sigma$ | $\rho^{2} \sigma$ | $a$ |
| $\rho$ | $\rho$ | $\rho^{2}$ | $\{e, a\}$ | $\rho \sigma$ | $\rho^{2} \sigma$ | $\sigma$ | $\rho$ |
| $\rho^{2}$ | $\rho^{2}$ | $\{e, a\}$ | $\rho$ | $\rho^{2} \sigma$ | $\sigma$ | $\rho \sigma$ | $\rho^{2}$ |
| $\sigma$ | $\sigma$ | $\rho^{2} \sigma$ | $\rho \sigma$ | $\{e, a\}$ | $\rho^{2}$ | $\rho$ | $\sigma$ |
| $\rho \sigma$ | $\rho \sigma$ | $\sigma$ | $\rho^{2} \sigma$ | $\rho$ | $\{e, a\}$ | $\rho^{2}$ | $\rho$ |
| $\rho^{2} \sigma$ | $\rho^{2} \sigma$ | $\rho \sigma$ | $\sigma$ | $\rho^{2}$ | $\rho$ | $\{e, a\}$ | $\rho^{2} \sigma$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $\rho$ | $\rho^{2}$ | $\sigma$ | $\rho \sigma$ | $\rho^{2} \sigma$ | $e$ |

$$
d\left(P_{G}\right)=\frac{31}{49}=\frac{6^{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2}+2 \cdot 6+1}{7^{2}}
$$

Remark 12. 1. $d\left(P_{G}\right) \geq d(G)$, for all group $G$;
2. If $G$ is an abelian group, then $P_{G}$ is a commutative polygroup.
3. According to the above example, it is observed that there is a non commutative polygroup $P_{G}$ with commutativity degree more than $\frac{5}{8}$, what in group theory does not happend.

In what follows, we determine a bounded for polygroup $P_{G}$, which depends to $d(G)$.
Proposition 13. If $G$ is a group with $|G|=n$, then

$$
d(G) \leq d\left(P_{G}\right) \leq \frac{d(G)+3}{4}
$$

Proof. Let $G$ be a group, with $|G|=n$. The first inequality is obvious, from Remark 12 and for second inequality, we make some elementary calculus and we obtain

$$
(d(G)-1)\left(3 n^{2}-2 n-1\right) \leq 0, \forall n \geq 1
$$

It is true, because $d(G) \in(0,1]$ and $3 n^{2}-2 n-1=(n-1)(3 n+1) \geq 0, \forall n \geq$ 1.

Proposition 14. $P_{G}$ is a commutative polygroup if and only if $d\left(P_{G}\right)>\frac{29}{32}$.
Proof. If $P_{G}$ is commutative polygroup, follows that $d\left(P_{G}\right)=1>\frac{29}{32}$.
Inverse, if $d\left(P_{G}\right)>\frac{29}{32}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{n^{2} d(G)+2 n+1}{(n+1)^{2}} & >\frac{29}{32}, \text { equivalent } \\
n^{2}(32 d(G)-29)+6 n+3 & >0, \text { for all } n \geq 2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $G$ is abelian group, then $d(G)=1$ and inequality is true.
If $G$ is a non abelian group, then $d(G)<\frac{5}{8}$, so

$$
n^{2}(32 d(G)-29)+6 n+3<-9 n^{2}+6 n+3<0, \text { for all } n \geq 2 .
$$

In this situation, the inequality doesn't holds.
In conclusion, $P_{G}$ is a commutative polygroup if and only if $d\left(P_{G}\right)>$ $\frac{29}{32}$.

## 3 Extension of polygroups by polygroups

The purpose of this section is to determine the commutativity degree of extension polygroups by polygroups and to find a connection with the commutativity degrees of the two polygroups which form the extension. Let $\mathcal{A}=<$ $A, \cdot, e,^{-1}>$ and $\mathcal{B}=<B, \cdot, e,^{-1}>$ be two polygroups whose elements have been renamed so that încât $A \cap B=\{e\}$. A new system $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]$ called the extension of $\mathcal{A}$ by $\mathcal{B}$ is formed in the following way:

$$
\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]=\left\langle M, *, e,{ }^{I}\right\rangle,
$$

where

$$
M=A \cup B, e^{I}=e, x^{I}=x^{-1}, e * x=x * e=x, \text { for all } x \in M
$$

and for all $x, y \in M \backslash\{e\}$.

$$
x * y= \begin{cases}x \cdot y & \text { if } x, y \in A  \tag{7}\\ x & \text { if } x \in B, y \in A \\ y & \text { if } x \in A, y \in B \\ x \cdot y & \text { if } x, y \in B, y \neq x^{-1} \\ x \cdot y \cup A & \text { if } x, y \in B, y=x^{-1}\end{cases}
$$

In this case, $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]$ is a polygroup which is called the extension of $\mathcal{A}$ by $\mathcal{B}$.
We consider $A=\left\{e, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right\}$ şi $B=\left\{e, b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{m-1}\right\}$, where $n$, $m \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$. We can represent the operation "*" through next table:

| * | $e$ | $a_{1}$ | ... | $a_{n-1}$ | $b_{1}$ | ... | $b_{i}$ | $\ldots$ | $b_{m-1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $e$ | $e$ | $a_{1}$ | $\ldots$ | $a_{n-1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $\ldots$ | $b_{i}$ | $\ldots$ | $b_{m-1}$ |
| $a_{1}$ | $a_{1}$ | $a_{1} a_{1}$ | ... | $a_{1} a_{n-1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $\ldots$ | $b_{i}$ | $\ldots$ | $b_{m-1}$ |
| : | : | : |  | : | : |  | : |  | : |
| $a_{n-1}$ | $a_{n-1}$ | $a_{n-1} a_{1}$ | ... | $a_{n-1} a_{n-1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $\ldots$ | $b_{i}$ | ... | $b_{m-1}$ |
| $b_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $\ldots$ | $b_{1}$ | $b_{1} b_{1}$ | $\ldots$ | $b_{1} b_{i} \cup A$ | $\ldots$ | $b_{1} b_{m-1}$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | : | ... | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ldots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ldots$ | : |
| $b_{i}$ | $b_{i}$ | $b_{i}$ | ... | $b_{i}$ | $b_{i} b_{1} \cup A$ | $\ldots$ | $b_{i} b_{i}$ | $\ldots$ | $b_{i} b_{m-1}$ |
| $\vdots$ | : |  | $\ldots$ |  | : | $\ldots$ | : | $\ldots$ | : |
| $b_{m-1}$ | $b_{m-1}$ | $b_{m-1}$ | ... | $b_{m-1}$ | $b_{m-1} b_{1}$ | $\ldots$ | $b_{m-1} b_{i}$ | $\ldots$ | $b_{m-1} b_{m-1}$ |

Without loss generality, we suppose that $b_{i}=b_{1}^{-1}$. For each element $b_{j}$, it is exists unique $b_{k}$, such that $b_{j}=b_{k}^{-1}$ with $i, j, k \in \overline{1, m-1}$.

The commutativity degree of polygroup $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]$ it is define thus:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}])=\frac{\left|\left\{(x, y) \in M^{2} \mid x * y=y * x\right\}\right|}{|M|^{2}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 15. If $\mathcal{A}=<A, \cdot, e,^{-1}>$ and $\mathcal{B}=<B, \cdot, e,^{-1}>$ are two finite polygroups, where $A=\left\{e, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right\}$ si $B=\left\{e, b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{m-1}\right\}$, with $n$, $m \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, then the commutativity degree of polygroup $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]$, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}])=\frac{n^{2} d(\mathcal{A})+m^{2} d(\mathcal{B})+2(n-1)(m-1)-1}{(n+m-1)^{2}} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let sets

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}=\left\{(x, y) \in A^{2} \mid x * y=y * x\right\} \\
& A_{2}=\left\{(x, y) \in B^{2} \mid x * y=y * x\right\} \\
& A_{3}=\{(x, y) \in A \times B \mid x * y=y * x, x, y \neq e\} \\
& A_{4}=\{(x, y) \in B \times A \mid x * y=y * x, x, y \neq e\}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{1} \cap A_{2} & =\{(e, e)\} \\
A_{i} \cap A_{j} & =\emptyset, \forall(i, j) \neq(1,2), i, j=\overline{1,4}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left\{(x, y) \in M^{2} \mid x * y=y * x\right\}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{4} A_{i}
$$

In conclusion,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}])=\frac{n^{2} d(\mathcal{A})+m^{2} d(\mathcal{B})+2(n-1)(m-1)-1}{(n+m-1)^{2}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 16. Let $\mathcal{P}_{1}=\left\langle P_{1}, \cdot, e,,^{-1}\right\rangle$ and $\mathcal{P}_{2}=\left\langle P_{2}, \cdot, e,,^{-1}\right\rangle$ be two polygroups, where $P_{1}=\{e, a, b, c\}$ and $P_{2}=\left\{e, a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right\}$ thus:

$$
\begin{array}{llllllllcc} 
& \cdot & e & a & b & c & & c & e & a^{\prime} \\
\mathcal{P}_{1}: & e & e & a & b & c \\
a & a & a & P_{1} & c \\
b & b & \{e, a, b\} & b & \{b, c\} & \mathcal{P}_{2}: & e & e & a^{\prime} & b^{\prime} \\
& c & c & \{a, c\} & c & P_{1} & & b^{\prime} & b^{\prime} & \left\{e, b^{\prime}\right\} \\
& \left\{a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right\} \\
& c
\end{array} .
$$

The extension of polygroup $\mathcal{P}_{1}$ by polygroup $\mathcal{P}_{2}, \mathcal{P}_{1}\left[\mathcal{P}_{2}\right]=\left\langle M, *, e,{ }^{I}\right\rangle$ it is represents as follows

| $*$ | $e$ | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ | $a^{\prime}$ | $b^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $e$ | $e$ | $a$ | $b$ | $c$ | $a^{\prime}$ | $b^{\prime}$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $a$ | $P_{1}$ | $c$ | $a^{\prime}$ | $b^{\prime}$ |
| $b$ | $b$ | $\{e, a, b\}$ | $b$ | $\{b, c\}$ | $a^{\prime}$ | $b^{\prime}$ |
| $c$ | $c$ | $\{a, c\}$ | $c$ | $P_{1}$ | $a^{\prime}$ | $b^{\prime}$ |
| $a^{\prime}$ | $a^{\prime}$ | $a^{\prime}$ | $a^{\prime}$ | $a^{\prime}$ | $\left\{e, b^{\prime}\right\} \cup P_{1}$ | $\left\{a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right\}$ |
| $b^{\prime}$ | $b^{\prime}$ | $b^{\prime}$ | $b^{\prime}$ | $b^{\prime}$ | $\left\{a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right\}$ | $\left\{e, a^{\prime}\right\} \cup P_{1}$ |

For $n=4, m=3, d\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}\right)=\frac{5}{8}, d\left(\mathcal{P}_{2}\right)=1$ it is obatined:

$$
d\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}\left[\mathcal{P}_{2}\right]\right)=\frac{4^{2} \cdot \frac{5}{8}+3^{2} \cdot 1+2(4-1)(3-1)-1}{(4+3-1)^{2}}=\frac{5}{6} .
$$

We notice that $\frac{5}{6}>\frac{5}{8}$, so the result from group theory dosen't holds in polygroup theory.

Remark 17. If $\mathcal{A}$ şi $\mathcal{B}$ are two commutative polygroups, then $d(\mathcal{A})=d(\mathcal{B})=1$ and

$$
d(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}])=\frac{n^{2}+m^{2}+2(n-1)(m-1)-1}{(n+m-1)^{2}}=1 .
$$

So, $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]$ it is a commutative polygroup.
Remark 18. The polygroup $P_{G}=G \cup\{a\}$, $a \notin G$, could be written as a extension of polygroup $\mathcal{A}=<G, \cdot, e,^{-1}>$ by polygroup $\left.\mathcal{B}=<B, \cdot, e,^{-1}\right\rangle$, where $B=\{e, a\}, a \notin G$ and "." from $\mathcal{B}$ has the form:

| $\cdot$ | $e$ | $a$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $e$ | $e$ | $a$ |
| $a$ | $a$ | $\{e, a\}$ | Applying the formula $(9)$ for $d(\mathcal{A})=d(G), m=2$ and $d(\mathcal{B})=1$, we obtain

$$
d(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}])=\frac{n^{2} d(G)+2^{2}+2(n-1)-1}{(n+2-1)^{2}}=\frac{n^{2} d(G)+2 n+1}{(n+1)^{2}}=d\left(P_{G}\right)
$$

## Remark 19.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{2} d(\mathcal{A})+m^{2} d(\mathcal{B})+2(n-1)(m-1)-1}{(n+m-1)^{2}}=d(\mathcal{A}) \\
& \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^{2} d(\mathcal{A})+m^{2} d(\mathcal{B})+2(n-1)(m-1)-1}{(n+m-1)^{2}}=d(\mathcal{B})
\end{aligned}
$$

We determine a boundaries for the extension $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]$, in the following.

Proposition 20. $\min \{d(\mathcal{A}), d(\mathcal{B})\} \leq d(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]) \leq \frac{1+\max \{d(\mathcal{A}), d(\mathcal{B})\}}{2}$.
Proof. Let us suppose that $d(\mathcal{A}) \leq d(\mathcal{B})$. The other case is treated in a similar way.

$$
d(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]) \geq \frac{n^{2} d(\mathcal{A})+m^{2} d(\mathcal{A})+2(n-1)(m-1)-1}{(n+m-1)^{2}}
$$

Equivalent with

$$
(1-d(\mathcal{A}))(2 n m+2 n+2 m+1) \geq 0
$$

Which is true, because $d(\mathcal{A}) \in(0,1]$.
The next inequality becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]) & \leq \frac{d(\mathcal{B})\left(n^{2}+m^{2}\right)+2(n-1)(m-1)-1}{(n+m-1)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{\left(n^{2}+m^{2}\right)(d(\mathcal{B})-1)}{(n+m-1)^{2}}+1
\end{aligned}
$$

But,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\left(n^{2}+m^{2}\right)(d(\mathcal{B})-1)}{(n+m-1)^{2}}+1 & \leq \frac{1+d(\mathcal{B})}{2} \Leftrightarrow \\
(d(\mathcal{B})-1)\left(\frac{n^{2}+m^{2}}{(n+m-1)^{2}}-\frac{1}{2}\right) & \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

which is true. In conclusion,

$$
\min \{d(\mathcal{A}), d(\mathcal{B})\} \leq d(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]) \leq \frac{1+\max \{d(\mathcal{A}), d(\mathcal{B})\}}{2}
$$

## 4 On nilpotencity of $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]$

In this section, we propose to prove that if $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are two nilpotent polygroups, then the extension of polygropus by polygroups, $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]$ is also a nilpotent polygroup. To prove this, we need some notions which appears in the book of B. Davvaz, [4].
Definition 21. A polygroup $<P, \cdot, e,,^{-1}>$ is said to be nilpotent, if $l_{n}(P) \subseteq$ $\omega_{P}$ or equivalent $l_{n}(P) \cdot \omega_{P}=\omega_{P}$, for some integer $n$, where $l_{0}(P) \cdot \omega_{P}=\omega_{P}$ and
$l_{k+1}(P)=<\left\{h \in P \mid x \cdot y \cap h \cdot y \cdot x \neq \varnothing\right.$, such that $x \in l_{k}(P)$ and $\left.y \in P\right\}>$.

The smallest integer $c$ such that $l_{c}(P) \cdot \omega_{P}=\omega_{P}$ is called the nilpotencity class or for simplicity the class of $P$.

Notice that $P=l_{0}(P) \supseteq l_{1}(P) \supseteq l_{2}(P) \supseteq \ldots$..that is $\left\{l_{k}(P)\right\}_{k \geq 0}$ is a decreasing sequence which we call it generalized descending central series.

Forwards, we find a connection between the heart of polygroups $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]$.

Proposition 22. Let $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]=<M, *, e,{ }^{I}>$ be the extension of polygroups $\mathcal{A}$ by $\mathcal{B}$, where $M=A \cup B, A \cap B=\{e\}$. The next relation, hold on

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\mathcal{A}} \cup \omega_{\mathcal{B}} \subseteq \omega_{\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Note that the neutral element $e$, is the same in all polygroups, $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]$.

Let be $x \in \omega_{\mathcal{A}}$, follows that $x \beta^{*} e$, so there is $n \in \mathbb{N}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{x, e\} \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the relation (7), it is observe that $x * y=x \cdot y$, for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. So, the relation (12) could be written thus:

There is $n \in \mathbb{N}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n} \in \mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]$, such that $\{x, e\} \subseteq a_{1} * a_{2} * \ldots * a_{n}$ which implies $x \in \omega_{\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]}$.

Now, if $x \in \omega_{\mathcal{B}}$, follows that $x \beta^{*} e$, so there is $m \in \mathbb{N}, b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{m} \in \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{x, e\} \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} \subseteq b_{1} * b_{2} * \ldots * b_{n} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if $b_{i} \neq b_{j}^{-1}, \forall i, j \in \overline{1, n}$, so follows that $x \in \omega_{\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]}$. If exists $i, j$ such that $b_{i}=b_{j}^{-1}, \prod_{i=1}^{n} b_{i} \subseteq b_{1} \cdot b_{2} \cdot \ldots\left(b_{i} \cdot b_{j} \cup A\right) \cdot \ldots \cdot b_{n}$, so $x \in \omega_{\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]}$. In conclusion $\omega_{\mathcal{A}} \cup \omega_{\mathcal{B}} \subseteq \omega_{\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]}$.

Proposition 23. Let $\left.\mathcal{A}=<A, \cdot, e,^{-1}\right\rangle, \mathcal{B}=\left\langle B, \cdot, e,,^{-1}\right\rangle$ be two polygroup. If $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]=<M, *, e,{ }^{I}>$ is the extension of polygroups $\mathcal{A}$ by $\mathcal{B}$, where $M=$ $A \cup B, A \cap B=\{e\}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{k}(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}])=l_{k}(\mathcal{A}) \cup l_{k}(\mathcal{B}) . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We do the proof

$$
l_{k}(\mathcal{A}) \cup l_{k}(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq l_{k}(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}])
$$

by induction on $k$. For $k=0, A \cup B \subseteq A \cup B$, it is true. Now, suppose that $a \in l_{k+1}(\mathcal{A})$, so exists $x \in l_{k}(\mathcal{A}), y \in \mathcal{A}$, such that

$$
x \cdot y \cap a \cdot y \cdot x \neq \emptyset
$$

Using the hypothesis induction, follows that $x \in l_{k}(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}])$.
So, $a \in A \subset A \cup B, x \in l_{k}(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]), y \in A \cup B$ and

$$
x * y \cap a * y * x \neq \emptyset
$$

In conclusion, $a \in l_{k+1}(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}])$. If, $a \in l_{k+1}(\mathcal{B})$, exists $x \in l_{k}(\mathcal{B})$, $y \in \mathcal{B}$, such that $x \cdot y \cap a \cdot y \cdot x \neq \emptyset$. In a similar way, using the hypothesis induction, follows that $x \in l_{k}(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}])$. So, we have two cases:

If, $y \neq x^{-1}$ and $y \neq a^{-1}$, the condition $x \cdot y \cap a \cdot y \cdot x \neq \emptyset$ becomes

$$
x * y \cap a * y * x \neq \emptyset
$$

where $x \in l_{k}(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]), a \in A \cup B, y \in A \cup B$, follows that $a \in l_{k+1}(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}])$.
If $y=x^{-1}$ and $y \neq a^{-1}, x * y \cap a * y * x \neq \emptyset$ is equivalent with

$$
(x \cdot y \cup A) \cap(\underset{c \in C}{ } a \cdot c) \neq \emptyset
$$

where $C=y \cdot x \cup A$, because $x \cdot y \cap a \cdot y \cdot x \neq \emptyset$. So, $a \in l_{k+1}(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}])$. The other cases are treated in a similar way.

Now, like above, using the induction method, we do the proof

$$
l_{k}(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]) \subseteq l_{k}(\mathcal{A}) \cup l_{k}(\mathcal{B})
$$

For $k=0, A \cup B \subseteq A \cup B$. If $a \in l_{k+1}(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}])$, then $a \in A \cup B$ and exists $x \in l_{k}(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]), y \in A \cup B$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x * y \cap a * y * x \neq \emptyset \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the hypothesis induction, follows that $x \in l_{k}(\mathcal{A})$ or $x \in l_{k}(\mathcal{B})$.
If $a \in A$, we choose $x \in l_{k}(\mathcal{A})$ and $y \in A$ such that the condition (15) becomes $x \cdot y \cap a \cdot y \cdot x \neq \emptyset$, results $a \in l_{k+1}(\mathcal{A})$.

If $a \in B$ we choose $x \in l_{k}(\mathcal{B})$ and $y \in B$, such that the condition (15) becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
x \cdot y \cap a \cdot y \cdot x \neq \emptyset, & y \neq a^{-1} \neq x^{-1}  \tag{17}\\
(x \cdot y \cup A) \cap(\underset{c \in C}{\cup} a \cdot c) \neq \emptyset, & y=x^{-1} \\
x \cdot y \cap(\underset{d \in D}{\cup} d \cdot x) \neq \emptyset, & y=a^{-1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $D=a \cdot y \cup A$. From the relations given by (17), it is obtained that $x \cdot y \cap a \cdot y \cdot x \neq \emptyset$.

Proposition 24. If $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are nilpotent polygroups, then the extension of polygroups, $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]$ is also a nilpotent polygroup.

Proof. $\mathcal{A}$ is a nilpotent polygroups, so there exists $k_{1} \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ such that $l_{k_{1}}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq$ $\omega_{\mathcal{A}} \cdot \mathcal{B}$ is a nilpotent polygroups, so there exists $k_{2} \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ such that $l_{k_{2}}(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq$ $\omega_{\mathcal{B}}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{k_{1}}(\mathcal{A}) \cup l_{k_{2}}(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq \omega_{\mathcal{A}} \cup \omega_{\mathcal{B}} \subseteq \omega_{\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $k=\max \left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ and $\left\{l_{k}(P)\right\}_{k \geq 0}$ is a decreasing sequence. We have $l_{k}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq l_{k_{1}}(\mathcal{A})$ and $l_{k}(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq l_{k_{2}}(\mathcal{B})$. Using the Proposition 23, follows that

$$
l_{k}(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]) \subseteq \omega_{\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]}
$$

So, $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]$ is a nilpotent polygroup.
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