DOI: 10.2478/auom-2020-0044 An. Şt. Univ. Ovidius Constanța



\$ sciendo **Vol. 28(3),2020, 241–254**

New aspects in polygroup theory

Andromeda Cristina Sonea

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to compute the commutativity degree in polygroup's theory, more exactly for the polygroup P_G and for extension of polygroups by polygroups, obtaining boundaries for them. Also, we have analyzed the nilpotencity of $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]$, meaning the extension of polygroups \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} .

1 Introduction

The polygroups theory represents a particular class from the hypergroup theory. This theory is detailed in the book of Davvaz, "Polygroup Theory and Related Systems" see [4]. We choose this class because it is similar to group theory and we founded a few similarities but and differences between these two theories.

Definition 1. A polygroup is a system $\varphi = \langle P, \cdot, e, -1 \rangle$, where $e \in P$, $^{-1}$ is a unitary operation on P and " \cdot " : $P \times P \to \mathcal{P}^*(P)$. In the following, the next axioms hold for all $x, y, z \in P$:

- i) $(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z);$
- ii) $e \cdot x = x \cdot e = x;$

iii) $x \in y \cdot z$, implies $y \in x \cdot z^{-1}$ and $z \in y^{-1} \cdot x$.

Key Words: polygroup, commutativity degree, extension of polygroups by polygroups, nilpotencity.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 46G05, 46L05; Secondary 47A30, 47B47. Received: 27.12.2019

Accepted: 17.02.2020

Also, from the above axioms, it is obtaine:

$$e \in x \cdot x^{-1} \cap x^{-1} \cdot x; e^{-1} = e,$$

$$(x^{-1})^{-1} = x, (x \cdot y)^{-1} = y^{-1} \cdot x^{-1}.$$

2 Commutativity degree in polygroup theory

The aim of this section is to compute the commutativity degree for polygroup P_G and to find a connection between the results from group theory and from polygroup theory. This notion, was studied by Azam Hokmabadi, Fahimeh Mohammadzadeh and Elaheh Mohammadzade, see [7] presented in the 6th International Group Theory Conference, 2014. In this paper, the definition of commutativity degree has a similar form, but we don't using the heart of a polygroup.

Definition 2. Let $\langle P, \cdot, e, -1 \rangle$ be a polygroup. The commutativity degree of polygroup P, notice by d(P) has the next form:

$$d(P) = \frac{|\{(a,b) \in P^2 | a \cdot b = b \cdot a\}|}{|P|^2}$$

Remark 3. The set $\{(a, b) \in P^2 | a \cdot b = b \cdot a\}$ is notice by c(P).

Example 4. Let $P = \{e, a, b, c\}$ and let $\langle P, \cdot, e, {}^{-1} \rangle$ be a non-commutative polygroup, where " \cdot " is define thus

•	e	a	b	c
e	e	a	b	c
a	a	a	P	c
b	b	$\{e, a, b\}$	b	$\{b,c\}$
c	c	$\{a,c\}$	c	P

In this case, the commutativity degree of polygroup P, is

$$d(P) = \frac{10}{16} = \frac{5}{8}$$

Proposition 5. Let $\langle P_1, \cdot, e_1, -1 \rangle$ and $\langle P_2, *, e_2, -1 \rangle$ be two polygroups. $P_1 \times P_2$ equipped with the usual direct hyperproduct

"
$$\circ$$
" : $(P_1 \times P_2) \times (P_1 \times P_2) \rightarrow P_1 \times P_2$,

$$(x_1, y_1) \circ (x_2, y_2) = \{(x, y) \mid x \in x_1 \cdot x_2, \ y \in y_1 * y_2\}$$

becomes a polygroup.

Proposition 6. Let $\langle P_1, \cdot, e_1, -1 \rangle$ and $\langle P_2, *, e_2, -1 \rangle$ be two polygroups. The next relation holds

$$d(P_1 \times P_2) = d(P_1)d(P_2).$$

Proof. The amount

$$\frac{|\{(x_1, y_1) \times (x_2, y_2) \in (P_1 \times P_2)^2 | (x_1, y_1) \circ (x_2, y_2) = (x_2, y_2) \circ (x_1, y_1) \}|}{|P_1 \times P_2|^2}.$$
(1)

represents the commutativity degree of $P_1 \times P_2$. So, the expression

$$(x_1, y_1) \circ (x_2, y_2) = (x_2, y_2) \circ (x_1, y_1)$$
(2)

is equivalent with

$$\{ (x,y) \in P_1 \times P_2 | x \in x_1 \cdot x_2 = x_2 \cdot x_1, y \in y_1 * y_2 = y_2 * y_1 \}$$

= $\{ x \in P_1 | x \in x_1 \cdot x_2 = x_2 \cdot x_1 \} \{ y \in P_2 | y \in y_1 * y_2 = y_2 * y_1 \}$
= $c(P_1) c(P_2).$

 $P_1 \times P_2 = \{(x, y) | x \in P_1, y \in P_2\} = \{x, x \in P_1\} \{y, y \in P_2\},\$

follows that

$$|P_1 \times P_2| = |P_1||P_2|.$$

Therefore,

$$d(P_1 \times P_2) = \frac{|c(P_1 \times P_2)|}{|P_1 \times P_2|^2} = \frac{|c(P_1)||c(P_2)|}{|P_1 \times P_2|^2}$$

In conclusion,

$$d(P_1 \times P_2) = \frac{|c(P_1)|}{|P_1|^2} \frac{|c(P_2)|}{|P_2|^2} = d(P_1)d(P_2),$$

		÷.
н		
н		

Example 7. Let sets $P_1 = \{0, 1\}$, $P_2 = \{e, a, b, c\}$ and let $\langle P_1, \cdot, e, -1 \rangle$, $\langle P_2, *, e', -1 \rangle$ be two polygroups, where " \cdot " si " *" are define thus:

•	0	1
0	0	1
1	1	0

and

*	e	a	b	c
e	e	a	b	c
a	a	a	P_2	c
b	b	$\{e, a, b\}$	b	$\{b, c\}$
c	c	$\{a,c\}$	c	P_2

 $We \ notice$

$$\alpha_i^j = (x_i, y_j), i \in \{1, 2\}, j \in \{1, 2, 3\},$$

where x_i and y_j , represents of component *i* from P_1 and y_j represents of component *j* from P_2 . The product polygroup $P_1 \times P_2$ has the next form.

0	α_1^1	α_1^2	α_1^3	α_1^4
α_1^1	α_1^1	α_1^2	α_1^3	α_1^4
α_1^2	α_1^2	α_1^2	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} \alpha_1^i,\\ i=\overline{1,4}\end{array}\right\}$	α_1^4
α_1^3	α_1^3	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} \alpha_1^i,\\ i=\overline{1,3}\end{array}\right\}$	α_1^3	$\{\alpha_1^3,\alpha_1^4\}$
α_1^4	α_1^4	$\{\alpha_1^2,\alpha_1^4\}$	α_1^4	$\left\{\begin{array}{c}\alpha_1^i,\\i=\overline{1,4}\end{array}\right\}$
α_2^1	α_2^1	α_2^2	α_2^3	α_2^4
α_2^2	α_2^2	α_2^2	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} \alpha_2^i,\\ i=\overline{1,4}\end{array}\right\}$	α_2^4
α_2^3	α_2^3	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} \alpha_2^i,\\ i=\overline{1,3}\end{array}\right\}$	α_2^3	$\{\alpha_2^3,\alpha_2^4\}$
α_2^4	α_2^4	$\{\alpha_2^2, \alpha_2^4\}$	α_2^4	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} \alpha_{2}^{i},\\ i=\overline{1,4}\end{array}\right\}$

and

0	α_2^1	α_2^2	α_2^3	α_2^4
α_1^1	α_2^1	α_2^2	α_2^3	α_2^4
α_1^2	α_2^2	α_2^2	$\left\{ \alpha_{2}^{i}, i=\overline{1,4} \right\}$	α_2^4
α_1^3	α_2^3	$\left\{\alpha_2^i, i=\overline{1,3}\right\}$	α_2^3	$\{lpha_2^3, lpha_2^4\}$
α_1^4	α_2^4	$\{lpha_2^2, lpha_2^4\}$	α_2^4	$\left\{\alpha_2^i, i=\overline{1,4}\right\}$
α_2^1	α_1^1	α_1^2	α_1^3	α_1^4
α_2^2	α_1^2	α_1^2	$\left\{ \alpha_{1}^{i}, i=\overline{1,4} \right\}$	α_1^4
α_2^3	α_1^3	$\left\{\alpha_1^i, i=\overline{1,3}\right\}$	α_1^3	$\{lpha_1^3, lpha_1^4\}$
α_2^4	α_1^4	$\{\alpha_1^2, \alpha_1^4\}$	α_1^4	$\left\{\alpha_1^i, i=\overline{1,4}\right\}$

The commutativity degree is

$$d(P_1 \times P_2) = \frac{40}{64} = \frac{5}{8} \cdot 1 = d(P_1) \cdot d(P_2).$$

Let (G, \cdot) be a group and $P_G = G \cup \{a\}$, where $a \notin G$. It is define on P_G ,

the hyperoperation " \circ " as follows

Proposition 8. If G is a group, then $< P_G, \circ, e, ^{-1} >$ is a polygroup.

Corolar 9. Let (G, \cdot) be a group. The polygroup P_G is nilpotent, if and only if G is a nilpotent group.

Proposition 10. If (G, \cdot) is a finit group, with $|G| = n, n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, then

$$d(P_G) = \frac{n^2 d(G) + 2n + 1}{(n+1)^2}.$$
(3)

Proof. We define, the commutativity degree of polygroup P_G as follows

$$d(P_G) = \frac{\left|\{(x,y) \in P_G^2 | \ x \circ y = y \circ x\}\right|}{|P_G|^2}.$$
(4)

Let

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A_1 &=& \{(x,y)\in G^2, \ y\neq x^{-1}\}, \ A_2=\{(x,y)\in G^2, \ y=x^{-1}\}, \\ A_3 &=& \{(a,y), \ y\in G\}, \ A_4=\{(x,a), \ x\in G, \ y=a\}, \ A_5=\{(a,a)\}. \end{array}$$

We observe that

$$P_G \times P_G = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3 \cup A_4 \cup A_5, \tag{5}$$

with

$$A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset, \ \forall \ i \neq j. \tag{6}$$

According to (5) and (6), the above expression, could be written thus

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \{ (x,y) \in P_G^2 | \ x \circ y = y \circ x \} \right| &= \sum_{i=1}^5 |\{ (x,y) \in A_i | \ x \circ y = y \circ x | \\ &= n^2 d(G) + n + n + 1 = n^2 d(G) + 2n + 1. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$d(P_G) = \frac{n^2 d(G) + 2n + 1}{(n+1)^2}.$$

Example 11. If $G = D_3$, then $P_G = G \cup a$, $a \notin D_3$. The commutativity degree of G, is $d(G) = \frac{1}{2}$.

0	e	ρ	ρ^2	σ	$ ho\sigma$	$ ho^2\sigma$	a
e	e	ρ	ρ^2	σ	$ ho\sigma$	$ ho^2 \sigma$	a
ρ	ρ	ρ^2	$\{e,a\}$	$\rho\sigma$	$ ho^2 \sigma$	σ	ρ
$ ho^2$	ρ^2	$\{e,a\}$	ρ	$ ho^2 \sigma$	σ	$ ho\sigma$	$ ho^2$
σ	σ	$ ho^2 \sigma$	$ ho\sigma$	$\{e,a\}$	ρ^2	ρ	σ
$ ho\sigma$	$ ho\sigma$	σ	$\rho^2 \sigma$	ρ	$\{e,a\}$	ρ^2	ρ
$ ho^2 \sigma$	$ ho^2 \sigma$	$\rho\sigma$	σ	ρ^2	ρ	$\{e,a\}$	$ ho^2 \sigma$
a	a	ρ	ρ^2	σ	$ ho\sigma$	$ ho^2 \sigma$	e

$$d(P_G) = \frac{31}{49} = \frac{6^2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} + 2 \cdot 6 + 1}{7^2}.$$

Remark 12. 1. $d(P_G) \ge d(G)$, for all group G;

2. If G is an abelian group, then P_G is a commutative polygroup.

3. According to the above example, it is observed that there is a non commutative polygroup P_G with commutativity degree more than $\frac{5}{8}$, what in group theory does not happend.

In what follows, we determine a bounded for polygroup P_G , which depends to d(G).

Proposition 13. If G is a group with |G| = n, then

$$d(G) \le d(P_G) \le \frac{d(G) + 3}{4}$$

Proof. Let G be a group, with |G| = n. The first inequality is obvious, from Remark 12 and for second inequality, we make some elementary calculus and we obtain

$$(d(G) - 1)(3n^2 - 2n - 1) \le 0, \forall n \ge 1.$$

It is true, because $d(G) \in (0,1]$ and $3n^2 - 2n - 1 = (n-1)(3n+1) \ge 0, \forall n \ge 1$.

Proposition 14. P_G is a commutative polygroup if and only if $d(P_G) > \frac{29}{32}$.

Proof. If P_G is commutative polygroup, follows that $d(P_G) = 1 > \frac{29}{32}$. Inverse, if $d(P_G) > \frac{29}{32}$, then

r

$$\frac{n^2 d(G) + 2n + 1}{(n+1)^2} > \frac{29}{32}, \text{ equivalent}$$

$$u^2 (32d(G) - 29) + 6n + 3 > 0, \text{ for all } n \ge 2.$$

If G is abelian group, then d(G) = 1 and inequality is true.

If G is a non abelian group, then $d(G) < \frac{5}{8}$, so

 $n^2(32d(G)-29)+6n+3<-9n^2+6n+3<0,\,\text{for all }n\geq 2.$

In this situation, the inequality doesn't holds.

In conclusion, P_G is a commutative polygroup if and only if $d(P_G) > \frac{29}{32}$.

3 Extension of polygroups by polygroups

The purpose of this section is to determine the commutativity degree of extension polygroups by polygroups and to find a connection with the commutativity degrees of the two polygroups which form the extension. Let $\mathcal{A} = \langle A, \cdot, e, -1 \rangle$ and $\mathcal{B} = \langle B, \cdot, e, -1 \rangle$ be two polygroups whose elements have been renamed so that încât $A \cap B = \{e\}$. A new system $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]$ called the extension of \mathcal{A} by \mathcal{B} is formed in the following way:

$$\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}] = \langle M, *, e, I \rangle,$$

where

$$M = A \cup B, e^{I} = e, x^{I} = x^{-1}, e * x = x * e = x, \text{ for all } x \in M;$$

and for all $x, y \in M \setminus \{e\}.$

$$x * y = \begin{cases} x \cdot y & \text{if } x, y \in A \\ x & \text{if } x \in B, y \in A \\ y & \text{if } x \in A, y \in B \\ x \cdot y & \text{if } x, y \in B, y \neq x^{-1} \\ x \cdot y \cup A & \text{if } x, y \in B, y = x^{-1} \end{cases}$$
(7)

In this case, $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]$ is a polygroup which is called the extension of \mathcal{A} by \mathcal{B} . We consider $A = \{e, a_1, a_2, ..., a_{n-1}\}$ si $B = \{e, b_1, b_2, ..., b_{m-1}\}$, where $n, m \in \mathbb{N}^*$. We can represent the operation " *" through next table:

*	e	a_1	 a_{n-1}	b_1	 b_i	 b_{m-1}
e	e	a_1	 a_{n-1}	b_1	 b_i	 b_{m-1}
a_1	a_1	$a_1 a_1$	 $a_1 a_{n-1}$	b_1	 b_i	 b_{m-1}
		-				
:	:		 :	:	 :	 :
a_{n-1}	a_{n-1}	$a_{n-1}a_1$	 $a_{n-1}a_{n-1}$	b_1	 b_i	 b_{m-1}
b_1	b_1	b_1	 b_1	$b_1 b_1$	 $b_1b_i \cup A$	 $b_1 b_{m-1}$
:	:		 :	:	 :	 :
b_i	b_i	b_i	 b_i	$b_i b_1 \cup A$	 $b_i b_i$	 $b_i b_{m-1}$
:		-	 :	:	 :	 :
b_{m-1}	b_{m-1}	b_{m-1}	 b_{m-1}	$b_{m-1}b_1$	 $b_{m-1}b_i$	 $b_{m-1}b_{m-1}$

Without loss generality, we suppose that $b_i = b_1^{-1}$. For each element b_j , it is exists unique b_k , such that $b_j = b_k^{-1}$ with $i, j, k \in \overline{1, m-1}$. The commutativity degree of polygroup $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]$ it is define thus:

$$d(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]) = \frac{\left|\{(x,y) \in M^2 | \ x * y = y * x\}\right|}{|M|^2}.$$
(8)

Proposition 15. If $A = \langle A, \cdot, e, {}^{-1} \rangle$ and $B = \langle B, \cdot, e, {}^{-1} \rangle$ are two finite polygroups, where $A = \{e, a_1, a_2, ..., a_{n-1}\}$ is $B = \{e, b_1, b_2, ..., b_{m-1}\}$, with n, $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$, then the commutativity degree of polygroup $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]$, is

$$d(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]) = \frac{n^2 d(\mathcal{A}) + m^2 d(\mathcal{B}) + 2(n-1)(m-1) - 1}{(n+m-1)^2}.$$
(9)

Proof. Let sets

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A_1 &=& \{\,(x,y) \in A^2 | \; x*y = y*x\}; \\ A_2 &=& \{\,(x,y) \in B^2 | \; x*y = y*x\}; \\ A_3 &=& \{\,(x,y) \in A \times B | \; x*y = y*x, \; x, y \neq e\}; \\ A_4 &=& \{\,(x,y) \in B \times A | \; x*y = y*x, x, y \neq e\,\}. \end{array}$$

It is easy to observe that

$$A_1 \cap A_2 = \{(e, e)\},$$

$$A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset, \forall (i, j) \neq (1, 2), i, j = \overline{1, 4}.$$

and

$$\{ (x,y) \in M^2 | x * y = y * x \} = \bigcup_{i=1}^4 A_i.$$

In conclusion,

$$d(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]) = \frac{n^2 d(\mathcal{A}) + m^2 d(\mathcal{B}) + 2(n-1)(m-1) - 1}{(n+m-1)^2}.$$
 (10)

Example 16. Let $\mathfrak{P}_1 = \langle P_1, \cdot, e, {}^{-1} \rangle$ and $\mathfrak{P}_2 = \langle P_2, \cdot, e, {}^{-1} \rangle$ be two polygroups, where $P_1 = \{e, a, b, c\}$ and $P_2 = \{e, a', b'\}$ thus :

The extension of polygroup \mathcal{P}_1 by polygroup \mathcal{P}_2 , $\mathcal{P}_1[\mathcal{P}_2] = \langle M, *, e, ^I \rangle$ it is represents as follows

*	e	a	b	c	a'	b'
e	e	a	b	c	a'	b'
a	a	a	P_1	c	a'	b'
b	b	$\{e, a, b\}$	b	$\{b, c\}$	a'	b'
c	c	$\{a, c\}$	c	P_1	a'	b'
a'	a'	a'	a'	a'	$\{e, b'\} \cup P_1$	$\{a',b'\}$
b'	b'	b'	b'	b'	$\{a',b'\}$	$\{e, a'\} \cup P_1$

For $n = 4, m = 3, d(\mathcal{P}_1) = \frac{5}{8}, d(\mathcal{P}_2) = 1$ it is obtained:

$$d(\mathcal{P}_1[\mathcal{P}_2]) = \frac{4^2 \cdot \frac{5}{8} + 3^2 \cdot 1 + 2(4-1)(3-1) - 1}{(4+3-1)^2} = \frac{5}{6}.$$

We notice that $\frac{5}{6} > \frac{5}{8}$, so the result from group theory dosen't holds in polygroup theory.

Remark 17. If \mathcal{A} si \mathcal{B} are two commutative polygroups, then $d(\mathcal{A}) = d(\mathcal{B}) = 1$ and

$$d(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]) = \frac{n^2 + m^2 + 2(n-1)(m-1) - 1}{(n+m-1)^2} = 1.$$

So, $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]$ it is a commutative polygroup.

Remark 18. The polygroup $P_G = G \cup \{a\}$, $a \notin G$, could be written as a extension of polygroup $\mathcal{A} = \langle G, \cdot, e, {}^{-1} \rangle$ by polygroup $\mathcal{B} = \langle B, \cdot, e, {}^{-1} \rangle$, where $B = \{e, a\}$, $a \notin G$ and " \cdot " from \mathcal{B} has the form: $\boxed{\begin{array}{c|c} & e & a \\ \hline e & e & a \\ \hline a & a & \{e, a\} \end{array}}$. Applying the formula (9) for $d(\mathcal{A}) = d(G)$, m = 2 and $d(\mathcal{B}) = 1$, we obtain

$$d(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]) = \frac{n^2 d(G) + 2^2 + 2(n-1) - 1}{(n+2-1)^2} = \frac{n^2 d(G) + 2n+1}{(n+1)^2} = d(P_G).$$

Remark 19.

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n^2 d(\mathcal{A}) + m^2 d(\mathcal{B}) + 2(n-1)(m-1) - 1}{(n+m-1)^2} = d(\mathcal{A});$$
$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{n^2 d(\mathcal{A}) + m^2 d(\mathcal{B}) + 2(n-1)(m-1) - 1}{(n+m-1)^2} = d(\mathcal{B}).$$

We determine a boundaries for the extension $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]$, in the following.

.

Proposition 20. $min\{d(\mathcal{A}), d(\mathcal{B})\} \leq d(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]) \leq \frac{1+\max\{d(\mathcal{A}), d(\mathcal{B})\}}{2}.$

Proof. Let us suppose that $d(\mathcal{A}) \leq d(\mathcal{B})$. The other case is treated in a similar way.

$$d(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]) \ge \frac{n^2 d(\mathcal{A}) + m^2 d(\mathcal{A}) + 2(n-1)(m-1) - 1}{(n+m-1)^2}.$$

Equivalent with

$$(1-d(\mathcal{A}))(2nm+2n+2m+1) \ge 0$$

Which is true, because $d(\mathcal{A}) \in (0, 1]$.

The next inequality becomes

$$d(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]) \leq \frac{d(\mathcal{B})(n^2 + m^2) + 2(n-1)(m-1) - 1}{(n+m-1)^2}$$
$$= \frac{(n^2 + m^2)(d(\mathcal{B}) - 1)}{(n+m-1)^2} + 1.$$

But,

$$\frac{\left(n^2+m^2\right)\left(d(\mathcal{B})-1\right)}{\left(n+m-1\right)^2}+1 \leq \frac{1+d(\mathcal{B})}{2} \Leftrightarrow$$
$$\left(d(\mathcal{B})-1\right)\left(\frac{n^2+m^2}{\left(n+m-1\right)^2}-\frac{1}{2}\right) \leq 0,$$

which is true. In conclusion,

$$\min\{d(\mathcal{A}), d(\mathcal{B})\} \le d(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]) \le \frac{1 + \max\{d(\mathcal{A}), d(\mathcal{B})\}}{2}.$$

п			
	-	-	

4 On nilpotencity of $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]$

In this section, we propose to prove that if \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are two nilpotent polygroups, then the extension of polygropus by polygroups, $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]$ is also a nilpotent polygroup. To prove this, we need some notions which appears in the book of B. Davvaz, [4].

Definition 21. A polygroup $\langle P, \cdot, e, -1 \rangle$ is said to be nilpotent, if $l_n(P) \subseteq \omega_P$ or equivalent $l_n(P) \cdot \omega_P = \omega_P$, for some integer n, where $l_0(P) \cdot \omega_P = \omega_P$ and

$$l_{k+1}(P) = \langle \{h \in P \mid x \cdot y \cap h \cdot y \cdot x \neq \emptyset, \text{ such that } x \in l_k(P) \text{ and } y \in P \} \rangle.$$
(11)

The smallest integer c such that $l_c(P) \cdot \omega_P = \omega_P$ is called the nilpotencity class or for simplicity the class of P.

Notice that $P = l_0(P) \supseteq l_1(P) \supseteq l_2(P) \supseteq \dots$ that is $\{l_k(P)\}_{k\geq 0}$ is a decreasing sequence which we call it generalized descending central series.

Forwards, we find a connection between the heart of polygroups $\mathcal A$, $\mathcal B$ and $\mathcal A[\mathcal B].$

Proposition 22. Let $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}] = \langle M, *, e, I \rangle$ be the extension of polygroups \mathcal{A} by \mathcal{B} , where $M = A \cup B$, $A \cap B = \{e\}$. The next relation, hold on

$$\omega_{\mathcal{A}} \cup \omega_{\mathcal{B}} \subseteq \omega_{\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]}.$$
 (12)

Proof. Note that the neutral element e, is the same in all polygroups, \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} and $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]$.

Let be $x \in \omega_A$, follows that $x\beta^*e$, so there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n \in A$ such that

$$\{x, e\} \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_i. \tag{13}$$

Using the relation (7), it is observe that $x * y = x \cdot y$, for all $x, y \in A$. So, the relation (12) could be written thus:

There is $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n \in \mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]$, such that $\{x, e\} \subseteq a_1 * a_2 * ... * a_n$ which implies $x \in \omega_{\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]}$.

Now, if $x \in \omega_{\mathcal{B}}$, follows that $x\beta^*e$, so there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $b_1, b_2, ..., b_m \in \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$\{x, e\} \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^{n} b_i \subseteq b_1 * b_2 * \dots * b_n,$$
(14)

if and only if $b_i \neq b_j^{-1}$, $\forall i, j \in \overline{1, n}$, so follows that $x \in \omega_{\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]}$. If exists i, j such that $b_i = b_j^{-1}$, $\prod_{i=1}^n b_i \subseteq b_1 \cdot b_2 \cdot \dots (b_i \cdot b_j \cup A) \cdot \dots \cdot b_n$, so $x \in \omega_{\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]}$. In conclusion $\omega_{\mathcal{A}} \cup \omega_{\mathcal{B}} \subseteq \omega_{\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]}$.

Proposition 23. Let $\mathcal{A} = \langle A, \cdot, e, {}^{-1} \rangle$, $\mathcal{B} = \langle B, \cdot, e, {}^{-1} \rangle$ be two polygroup. If $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}] = \langle M, *, e, {}^{I} \rangle$ is the extension of polygroups \mathcal{A} by \mathcal{B} , where $M = A \cup B$, $A \cap B = \{e\}$, then

$$l_k\left(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]\right) = l_k\left(\mathcal{A}\right) \cup l_k\left(\mathcal{B}\right). \tag{15}$$

Proof. We do the proof

$$l_k(\mathcal{A}) \cup l_k(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq l_k(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}])$$

by induction on k. For k = 0, $A \cup B \subseteq A \cup B$, it is true. Now, suppose that $a \in l_{k+1}(\mathcal{A})$, so exists $x \in l_k(\mathcal{A})$, $y \in \mathcal{A}$, such that

$$x \cdot y \cap a \cdot y \cdot x \neq \emptyset.$$

Using the hypothesis induction, follows that $x \in l_k(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}])$. So, $a \in A \subset A \cup B$, $x \in l_k(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}])$, $y \in A \cup B$ and

$$x * y \cap a * y * x \neq \emptyset.$$

In conclusion, $a \in l_{k+1}(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}])$. If, $a \in l_{k+1}(\mathcal{B})$, exists $x \in l_k(\mathcal{B})$, $y \in \mathcal{B}$, such that $x \cdot y \cap a \cdot y \cdot x \neq \emptyset$. In a similar way, using the hypothesis induction, follows that $x \in l_k(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}])$. So, we have two cases:

If, $y \neq x^{-1}$ and $y \neq a^{-1}$, the condition $x \cdot y \cap a \cdot y \cdot x \neq \emptyset$ becomes

$$x * y \cap a * y * x \neq \emptyset,$$

where $x \in l_k(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}])$, $a \in A \cup B$, $y \in A \cup B$, follows that $a \in l_{k+1}(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}])$. If $y = x^{-1}$ and $y \neq a^{-1}$, $x * y \cap a * y * x \neq \emptyset$ is equivalent with

$$(x \cdot y \cup A) \cap \left(\bigcup_{c \in C} a \cdot c\right) \neq \emptyset,$$

where $C = y \cdot x \cup A$, because $x \cdot y \cap a \cdot y \cdot x \neq \emptyset$. So, $a \in l_{k+1}(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}])$. The other cases are treated in a similar way.

Now, like above, using the induction method, we do the proof

$$l_{k}\left(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]\right)\subseteq l_{k}\left(\mathcal{A}\right)\cup l_{k}\left(\mathcal{B}\right)$$

For k = 0, $A \cup B \subseteq A \cup B$. If $a \in l_{k+1}(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}])$, then $a \in A \cup B$ and exists $x \in l_k(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]), y \in A \cup B$ such that

$$x * y \cap a * y * x \neq \emptyset. \tag{16}$$

Using the hypothesis induction, follows that $x \in l_k(\mathcal{A})$ or $x \in l_k(\mathcal{B})$.

If $a \in A$, we choose $x \in l_k(\mathcal{A})$ and $y \in A$ such that the condition (15) becomes $x \cdot y \cap a \cdot y \cdot x \neq \emptyset$, results $a \in l_{k+1}(\mathcal{A})$.

If $a \in B$ we choose $x \in l_k(\mathcal{B})$ and $y \in B$, such that the condition (15) becomes

$$\begin{cases} x \cdot y \cap a \cdot y \cdot x \neq \emptyset, & y \neq a^{-1} \neq x^{-1} \\ (x \cdot y \cup A) \cap \left(\bigcup_{c \in C} a \cdot c \right) \neq \emptyset, & y = x^{-1} \\ x \cdot y \cap \left(\bigcup_{d \in D} d \cdot x \right) \neq \emptyset, & y = a^{-1} \end{cases},$$
(17)

where $D = a \cdot y \cup A$. From the relations given by (17), it is obtained that $x \cdot y \cap a \cdot y \cdot x \neq \emptyset$.

Proposition 24. If \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are nilpotent polygroups, then the extension of polygroups, $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]$ is also a nilpotent polygroup.

Proof. \mathcal{A} is a nilpotent polygroups, so there exists $k_1 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $l_{k_1}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \omega_{\mathcal{A}}$. \mathcal{B} is a nilpotent polygroups, so there exists $k_2 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $l_{k_2}(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq \omega_{\mathcal{B}}$.

$$l_{k_1}(\mathcal{A}) \cup l_{k_2}(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq \omega_{\mathcal{A}} \cup \omega_{\mathcal{B}} \subseteq \omega_{\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]}$$
(18)

Let $k = max(k_1, k_2)$ and $\{l_k(P)\}_{k\geq 0}$ is a decreasing sequence. We have $l_k(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq l_{k_1}(\mathcal{A})$ and $l_k(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq l_{k_2}(\mathcal{B})$. Using the Proposition 23, follows that

 $l_k(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]) \subseteq \omega_{\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]}.$

So, $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{B}]$ is a nilpotent polygroup.

References

- [1] Ana Castelaz-*Commutativity degree of finite groups*, A Thesis Submitted to the Graduade Faculty of Wake Forest University, in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the degree of Masters of Arts in the Departament of Mathematics, North Carolina, May (2010).
- [2] Piergiulio Corsini Introducere în teoria hipergrupurilor, Ed. Universității Alexandru Ioan Cuza, Iași, (1998).
- [3] Piergiulio Corsini, Violeta Leoreanu– Applications of hyperstructures theory, Kluwer Academic Publishers Boston/Dordrechtt/ London.
- [4] Bijan Davvaz- Polygroup Theory and Related Systems, World Scientific Publishing.
- [5] Paul Erdős, Pál Turán On Some Problems of a Statistical Group Theory, Acta Mathematica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae Tomus 19 (3-4), pp.413 – 435, (1968).
- [6] W.H. Gustafson What is the probability that two group elements commute?, Am. Math. Mon, 80(9):1031 – 1034, (1974).
- [7] Azam Hokmabadi, Fahimeh Mohammadzadeh and Elaheh Mohammadzade The commutativity degree of a polygroup, The 6th International Group Theory Conference, 12-13 March 2014, Golestan University, Gorgan, Iran, 88-91.
- [8] Li Hongxing, Duan Qinzi and Wang Peizhuang Hypergroup (I), Busefal, Vol.23, (1985).

- [9] Desmond MacHale How commutative can a non-commutative group be?, The Mathematical Gazette, Vol. 58, No. 405, pp. 199 - 202, (1974).
- [10] G. Miller-Relative number of non-invariant operators in a group, Prco. Nat. Acad. Sci, USA, 30(2):25 - 28, (1944).
- [11] Marius Tărnăuceanu Subgroup commutativity degrees of finite groups, Journal of Algebra, 337, pp. 363 – 368, (2011).

Andromeda Cristina Sonea, Department of Mathematics, Alexandru Ioan Cuza, University of Iasi, Bdul Carol I, 700506, Iasi, Romania. Email: soneaandromeda@yahoo.com